arXiv:1611.07439v1 [math.AC] 22 Nov 2016

An approach to the Jacobian Conjecture in terms of irreducibility

Piotr Jędrzejewicz, Janusz Zieliński

Abstract

We present some motivations and discuss various aspects of an approach to the Jacobian Conjecture in terms of irreducible elements and square-free elements.

1 Introduction

The Jacobian Conjecture is one of the most important open problems stimulating modern mathematical research ([29]). Its long history is full of equivalent formulations and wrong proofs. In this article we give a survey of a new purely algebraic approach to the Jacobian Conjecture in terms of irreducible elements and square-free elements, based mainly on: one of the authors' paper [22], de Bondt and Yan's paper [7], our paper [24], and our joint paper with Matysiak [25].

Let k be a field of characteristic zero. By $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ we denote the k-algebra of polynomials in n variables. Given polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, by $\operatorname{jac}(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ we denote their Jacobian determinant:

$$\operatorname{jac}(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \ldots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_1} & \ldots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_n} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The Jacobian Conjecture was stated by Keller ([26]) in 1939 for polynomials with integer coefficients. For arbitrary field k of characteristic zero it asserts the following:

Keywords: Jacobian Conjecture, Keller map, irreducible element.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13F20, Secondary 14R15, 13F15.

"If polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ satisfy the Jacobian condition $\mathrm{jac}(f_1, \ldots, f_n) \in k \setminus \{0\}$*, then* $k[f_1, \ldots, f_n] = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$*."*

It is known ([9]) that formulations of the Jacobian Conjecture for various fields of characteristic zero (as well as for $\mathbb{Z}$) are equivalent to each other. The conjecture can be expressed in terms of k-endomorphisms of the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$:

"If a k-endomorphism φ of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ satisfies the Jacobian condition $\mathrm{jac}(\varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_n)) \in k \setminus \{0\}$*, then it is an automorphism."*

For more information on the Jacobian Conjecture we refer the reader to van den Essen's book [11].

A primary motivation of our approach can be found in a question of van den Essen and Shpilrain from 1997 ([13], Problem 1), whether if a k-endomorphism of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ over a field k of characteristic zero maps variables to variables, then it is an automorphism. A polynomial $f \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is called a *variable* if there exist polynomials $f_2, \ldots, f_n \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $k[f, f_2, \ldots, f_n] = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. A positive solution of this problem was obtained by Jelonek ([17], [18]). In 2006 Bakalarski proved an analogical fact for irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{C}$ ([5], Theorem 3.7, see also [1]). Namely, he proved that a complex polynomial endomorphism is an automorphism if and only if it maps irreducible polynomials to irreducible polynomials. One of the authors in 2013 obtained a characterization of k-endomorphisms of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ satisfying the Jacobian condition as mapping irreducible polynomials to square-free polynomials ([22], Theorem 5.1). This fact has been further generalized by de Bondt and Yan: they proved that mapping square-free polynomials to square-free ones is also equivalent to the Jacobian condition ([7], Corollary 2.2).

We present our generalization of the Jacobian Conjecture for r polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where k is a field of characteristic zero and $r \leqslant n$: if all jacobians (with respect to r variables) are relatively prime, then $k[f_1, \ldots, f_r]$ is algebraically closed in $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ ([24]). Then we present equivalent versions of this generalized Jacobian condition in terms of the mentioned k-subalgebra: all irreducible (resp. square-free) elements of $k[f_1, \ldots, f_r]$ are square-free in $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ ([24], Theorem 2.4). Recall that an element $a \in R$ is called *square-free* if it cannot be presented in the form $a = b^2c$, where $b, c \in R$ and b is non-invertible. It is reasonable to consider such properties in a general case, e.g. for subrings of unique factorization domains. In this case the property that square-free elements of a subring are square-free in the whole ring can be expressed in some factorial form ([24], Theorem 3.4). At the end we discuss possible directions of future research.

2 Freudenburg's lemma and its generalizations

A motivation of the main preparatory fact (Theorem 2.4 below) comes from generalizations of the following lemma of Freudenburg from [14].

Theorem 2.1. (Freudenburg's Lemma)
Given a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$, let $g \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ be an irreducible non-constant common factor of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$. Then there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that g divides $f+c$.

The assertion of the above lemma can be strengthened in a way that if g is irreducible, then

$$g \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial x},\ g \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \ \Leftrightarrow\ g^2 \mid f + c \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Freudenburg needed this lemma to prove that if a polynomial of the form $w(u,v)$, where u and v are variables, belongs to the ring of constants of some locally nilpotent derivation of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$, then a variable also belongs to this ring. Van den Essen, Nowicki and Tyc obtained the following generalization of Freudenburg's Lemma ([12], Proposition 2.1).

Theorem 2.2. (van den Essen, Nowicki, Tyc)
Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let Q be a prime ideal of the ring $k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ and $f \in k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$. If for each i the partial derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ belongs to Q, then there exists $c \in k$ such that $f - c \in Q$.

They noted ([12], Remark 2.4) that the assumption "k is algebraically closed" cannot be dropped: for $f = x^3 + 3x$ and $Q = (g)$, where $g = x^2 + 1$, in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ we have $g \mid f'$, but $g \nmid f - c$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$. The idea of a generalization (in [20]) to arbitrary field k of characteristic zero was to consider, instead of $f - c$, a polynomial $w(f)$, where $w(T)$ is irreducible. In the mentioned example $w(T) = T^2 + 4$ since $g \mid f^2 + 4$. In fact the Freudenburg's Lemma was generalized to the case when the coefficient ring is a UFD of arbitrary characteristic.

Theorem 2.3. ([20], Theorem 3.1)
Let K be a unique factorization domain, let Q be a prime ideal of $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Consider a polynomial $f \in K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ such that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \in Q$ for $i = 1,\ldots,n$.

a) *If* $\operatorname{char} K = 0$, *then there exists an irreducible polynomial $w(T) \in K[T]$ such that $w(f) \in Q$.*

b) *If* $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$*, then there exist* $b, c \in K[x_1^p, \ldots, x_n^p]$ *such that* $\gcd(b, c) = 1$*,* $b \notin Q$ *and* $bf + c \in Q$*.*

As a consequence we obtain (see [20], Proposition 3.3) that if k is an arbitrary field of characteristic zero, $f, g \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and g is irreducible, then

$$g \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n \;\Leftrightarrow\; g^2 \mid w(f) \text{ for some irreducible } w(T) \in k[T].$$

A generalization of Freudenburg's Lemma to an arbitrary number of polynomials over a field of characteristic zero was obtained in [24]. Denote by $\operatorname{jac}^{f_1,\ldots,f_r}_{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_r}}$ the Jacobian determinant of polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ with respect to $x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_r}$.

Theorem 2.4. ([24], Theorem 2.3)
Let k *be a field of characteristic zero, let* $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be arbitrary polynomials, where* $r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$*, and let* $g \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be an irreducible polynomial. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $g \mid \operatorname{jac}^{f_1,\ldots,f_r}_{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_r}}$ *for every* $j_1, \ldots, j_r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$*,*

(ii) $g^2 \mid w(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ *for some irreducible polynomial* $w \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$*,*

(iii) $g^2 \mid w(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ *for some square-free polynomial* $w \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$*.*

The proof is based on the methods of proofs of earlier special cases: Theorem 4.1 from [22] and de Bondt and Yan's Theorem 2.1 from [7].

Note also that a positive characteristic analog of Freudenburg's Lemma for r polynomials in n variables was obtained in [23]. It was connected with a characterization of p-bases of rings of constants with respect to polynomial derivations.

3 A characterization of Keller maps

In this section we present the main result of [22] and its substantial extension by de Bondt and Yan from [7]. Note the following consequence of Theorem 2.4 in the case $r = n$.

Theorem 3.1. ([22], Corollary 4.2, [7], Corollary 2.2)
Let k *be a field of characteristic zero. For arbitrary polynomials* $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\operatorname{jac}(f_1, \ldots, f_n) \in k \setminus \{0\}$*,*

(ii) *for every irreducible polynomial* $w \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *the polynomial* $w(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ *is square-free,*

(iii) *for every square-free polynomial* $w \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *the polynomial* $w(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ *is square-free.*

The above equivalence can be expressed as a characterization of endomorphisms satisfying the Jacobian condition analogous to the characterization of automorphisms from Bakalarski's theorem ([5], Theorem 3.7).

Theorem 3.2. ([22], Theorem 5.1, [7], Corollary 2.2)
Let k *be a field of characteristic zero. Let* φ *be a* k*-endomorphism of the algebra of polynomials* $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$*. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathrm{jac}(\varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_n)) \in k \setminus \{0\}$,

(ii) *for every irreducible polynomial* $w \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *the polynomial* $\varphi(w)$ *is square-free,*

(iii) *for every square-free polynomial* $w \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *the polynomial* $\varphi(w)$ *is square-free.*

In this way we obtain a new equivalent formulation of the Jacobian Conjecture for an arbitrary field k of characteristic zero:

> *"Every* k*-endomorphism of* $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *mapping square-free polynomials to square-free polynomials is an automorphism."*

There is a natural question if there exists a non-trivial example of an endomorphism satisfying condition (ii): such that $\varphi(w)$ is reducible for some irreducible w. An affirmative answer to this question is equivalent to the negation of the Jacobian Conjecture ([22], Section 6, Remark 1).

4 A generalization of the Jacobian Conjecture

In [24] we generalized the Jacobian Conjecture in the following way (recall that $\mathrm{jac}^{f_1,\ldots,f_r}_{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_r}}$ denotes the Jacobian determinant of polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ with respect to $x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_r}$).

Conjecture JC(r, n, k). *For arbitrary polynomials* $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$*, where* k *is a field of characteristic zero and* $r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$*, if*

$$\gcd\left(\mathrm{jac}^{f_1,\ldots,f_r}_{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_r}},\ 1 \leqslant j_1 < \ldots < j_r \leqslant n\right) \in k \setminus \{0\},$$

then $k[f_1, \ldots, f_r]$ *is algebraically closed in* $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Recall that by Nowicki's characterization the above assertion means that R is a ring of constants of some k-derivation of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ ([28], Theorem 5.5, [27], Theorem 4.1.5, [10], 1.4).

We have:

– $\mathrm{JC}(r, n, k)$ implies the ordinary Jacobian Conjecture for r polynomials in r variables over k ([24], Lemma 1.1),

– $\mathrm{JC}(1, n, k)$ is true (Ayad 2002, [4], Proposition 14, see also [19], a remark before Proposition 4.2),

– the reverse implication in $\mathrm{JC}(r, n, k)$ need not to be true if $r < n$, we may take for example $f_1 = x_1^2 x_2$, $f_2 = x_3$, $\ldots$, $f_r = x_{r+1}$ ([24], Remark 1.2).

5 Analogs of Jacobian conditions for subrings

In this section we present equivalent versions of the generalized Jacobian condition from conjecture $\mathrm{JC}(r, n, k)$ in terms of irreducible elements as well as square-free elements. It is useful to introduce (following [21]) the notion of a "differential gcd" for r polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where $r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$:

$$\mathrm{dgcd}(f_1, \ldots, f_r) = \gcd\left(\mathrm{jac}^{f_1,\ldots,f_r}_{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_r}},\ 1 \leqslant j_1 < \ldots < j_r \leqslant n\right).$$

The next theorem is a consequence of Theorem 2.4 (for arbitrary r).

Theorem 5.1. *Let* k *be a field of characteristic zero. Assume that polynomials* $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *are algebraically independent over* k*, where* $r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$*. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathrm{dgcd}(f_1, \ldots, f_r) \in k \setminus \{0\}$,

(ii) *for every irreducible polynomial* $w \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ *the polynomial* $w(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ *is square-free,*

(iii) *for every square-free polynomial* $w \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ *the polynomial* $w(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ *is square-free.*

Note that under the assumptions of the above theorem, a polynomial $w \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ is irreducible (square-free) if and only if $w(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ is an irreducible (square-free) element of $k[f_1, \ldots, f_r]$. This allows us to express the above conditions in terms of the sets of irreducible elements (Irr) and square-free elements (Sqf) of the respective rings.

Theorem 5.2. ([24], Theorem 2.4)
Let $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where k is a field of characteristic zero. Assume that $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in A$ are algebraically independent over k, where $r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Put $R = k[f_1, \ldots, f_r]$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\operatorname{dgcd}(f_1, \ldots, f_r) \in k \setminus \{0\}$,

(ii) $\operatorname{Irr} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A$,

(iii) $\operatorname{Sqf} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A$.

Therefore we may consider conditions (ii) and (iii) in a general case, when A is a domain (a commutative ring with unity without zero divisors) and R is a subring of A, and we may call them analogs of the Jacobian condition (i). Conjecture $\mathrm{JC}(r, n, k)$ motivated us to state the following question ([24], Section 3).

A general question. *Let R be a subring of a domain A such that*

$$\operatorname{Irr} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A \quad \textit{or} \quad \operatorname{Sqf} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A.$$

When R is algebraically closed in A?

In particular, the ordinary Jacobian Conjecture for $r = n$, $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where $\operatorname{char} k = 0$, asserts that if $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in A$ are algebraically independent over k, $R = k[f_1, \ldots, f_n]$ and $\operatorname{Sqf} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A$, then $R = A$.

In order to understand more general context of conditions $\operatorname{Irr} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A$ and $\operatorname{Sqf} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A$ when R is a subring of a domain A, we can inscribe them into the following diagram of implications ([25], Proposition 3.3).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\operatorname{Irr} R \subset \operatorname{Irr} A & \Rightarrow & \operatorname{Prime} R \subset \operatorname{Irr} A & \Leftarrow & \operatorname{Prime} R \subset \operatorname{Prime} A & \Leftarrow & \forall_{I \in \operatorname{Spec} R} AI \in \operatorname{Spec} A \\
\Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
\operatorname{Irr} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A & \Rightarrow & \operatorname{Prime} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A & \Leftarrow & \operatorname{Prime} R \subset \operatorname{Gpr} A & \Leftarrow & \forall_{I \in \operatorname{Spec} R} AI \in \operatorname{Rdl} A \\
\Uparrow & & \Uparrow & & \Uparrow & & \Uparrow \\
\operatorname{Sqf} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A & \Rightarrow & \operatorname{Gpr} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A & \Leftarrow & \operatorname{Gpr} R \subset \operatorname{Gpr} A & \Leftarrow & \forall_{I \in \operatorname{Rdl} R} AI \in \operatorname{Rdl} A
\end{array}$$

By $\operatorname{Prime} R$ we have denoted the set of all prime elements of R, by $\operatorname{Gpr} R$ the set of (single) generators of principal radical ideals of R, and by $\operatorname{Rdl} R$ (following [6], p. 68) the set of radical ideals of R.

6 Factorial properties

Now we discuss factorial properties connected with inclusions $\operatorname{Irr} R \subset \operatorname{Irr} A$ and $\operatorname{Sqf} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A$, where R is a subring of a unique factorization domain A.

Recall that a subring R of a domain A such that for every $x, y \in A$:

$$xy \in R \setminus \{0\} \;\Rightarrow\; x, y \in R,$$

is called *factorially closed*. Rings of constants of locally nilpotent derivations in domains of characteristic zero are factorially closed (see [15] and [10] for details). Note that according only to the multiplicative structure, a submonoid of a (commutative cancelative) monoid satisfying the above condition is called *divisor-closed* ([16]). Denote by R^* the set of all invertible elements of a ring R. It is well known that a subring R of a unique factorization domain A such that $R^* = A^*$ is factorially closed in A if and only if $\operatorname{Irr} R \subset \operatorname{Irr} A$ (see [24], Lemma 3.2).

Under natural assumptions we can express also the condition $\operatorname{Sqf} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A$ in a form of factoriality. If R is a domain, by R_0 we denote its field of fractions.

Theorem 6.1. ([24], Theorem 3.4)
Let A be a unique factorization domain. Let R be a subring of A such that $R^* = A^*$ and $R_0 \cap A = R$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\operatorname{Sqf} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A$,

(ii) *for every $x \in A$, $y \in \operatorname{Sqf} A$, if $x^2 y \in R \setminus \{0\}$, then $x, y \in R$.*

If A is a UFD, then a subring R of A that fulfills condition (ii) of Theorem 6.1 we will call *square-factorially closed* in A. Condition (ii) has an advantage over condition (i) since it does not involve square-free elements of R. For example, one can define the square-factorial closure of a subring R in A as an intersection of all square-factorially closed subrings of A containing R.

There arise two questions concerning the condition $\operatorname{Irr} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A$ in the case when A is a UFD. Firstly, is it equivalent to $\operatorname{Sqf} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A$ under some natural assumptions (like $R^* = A^*$)? If such equivalence does not hold in general, can the condition $\operatorname{Irr} R \subset \operatorname{Sqf} A$ be expressed in a form of factoriality, similarly to the above theorem?

The notion of square-factorial closedness is relevant to thoroughly studied notion of root closedness. Recall that a subring R of a ring A is called *root closed* in A if the following implication:

$$x^n \in R \;\Rightarrow\; x \in R$$

holds for every $x \in A$ and $n \geqslant 1$.

Theorem 6.2. ([24], Theorem 3.6)
Let A be a unique factorization domain. Let R be a subring of A such that $R^* = A^*$ and $R_0 \cap A = R$. If R is square-factorially closed in A, then R is root closed in A.

An interesting task would be to investigate whether square-factorial closedness is stable under various operations and extensions. Such kind of results were obtained for example for root closedness (see [2], [3], [8], [30]). The latter is stable for instance under homogeneous grading and under passages to polynomial extension, to power series extension, to rational functions extension, to semigroup ring $R[X;\Gamma]$, where Γ is torsionless grading monoid. If for square-factorial closedness some property would not be valid in general, then under what additional assumptions. For example, stability of root closure under passage to power series extension is acquired by imposing the assumption that a subring R is von Neumann regular (see [30]) or $R_0 \cap A = R$ (see [3]) as in Theorem 6.2. Another prospect for further research is to obtain relationships (similarly to Theorem 6.2) of square-factorial closedness with other notions, such as seminormality.

References

[1] K. Adjamagbo, *On isomorphisms of factorial domains and the Jacobian conjecture in any characteristic* (2006), arXiv:math/0608008.

[2] D. F. Anderson, *Root closure in integral domains*, J. Algebra 79 (1982), 51–59.

[3] G. Angermüller, *Root closure*, J. Algebra 90 (1984), 189–197.

[4] M. Ayad, *Sur les polynômes $f(X,Y)$ tels que $K[f]$ est intégralement fermé dans $K[X,Y]$*, Acta Arith. 105 (2002), 9–28.

[5] S. Bakalarski, *Jacobian problem for factorial varieties*, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. XLIV (2006), 31–34.

[6] L.P. Belluce, A. Di Niola, A.R. Ferraioli, *Ideals of MV-semirings and MV-algebras*, in: G.L. Litvinov, S.N. Sergeev (eds), *Tropical and idempotent mathematics and applications*, AMS, Providence, 2014.

[7] M. de Bondt, D. Yan, *Irreducibility properties of Keller maps* (2013), arXiv:1304.0634.

[8] J. W. Brewer, D. L. Costa, K. McCrimmon, *Seminormality and root closure in polynomial rings and algebraic curves*, J. Algebra 58 (1979), 217–226.

[9] E. Connel, L. van den Dries, *Injective polynomial maps and the Jacobian Conjecture*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 28 (1983), 235–239.

[10] D. Daigle, *Locally nilpotent derivations, Lecture notes for the "September School" of Algebraic Geometry*, Łukęcin, Poland, September 2003 (unpublished), `http://aix1.uottawa.ca/~ddaigle/Lukecin03/LukecinDaigle.pdf`.

[11] A. van den Essen, *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture*, Progress in Mathematics, v. 190, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin 2000.

[12] A. van den Essen, A. Nowicki, A. Tyc, *Generalizations of a lemma of Freudenburg*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 177 (2003), 43–47.

[13] A. van den Essen, V. Shpilrain, *Some combinatorial questions about polynomial mappings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 119 (1997), 47–52.

[14] G. Freudenburg, *A note on the kernel of a locally nilpotent derivation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 27–29.

[15] G. Freudenburg, *Algebraic theory of locally nilpotent derivations*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, v. 136, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 2006.

[16] A. Geroldinger, F. Halter-Koch, *Non-unique factorizations, algebraic, combinatorial and analytic theory*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2006.

[17] Z. Jelonek, *A solution of the problem of van den Essen and Shpilrain*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 137 (1999), 49–55.

[18] Z. Jelonek, *A solution of the problem of van den Essen and Shpilrain II*, J. Algebra 358 (2012), 8–15.

[19] P. Jędrzejewicz, *Positive characteristic analogs of closed polynomials*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 9 (2011), 50–56.

[20] P. Jędrzejewicz, *A characterization of one-element p-bases of rings of constants*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 59 (2011), 19–26.

[21] P. Jędrzejewicz, *Jacobian conditions for p-bases*, Comm. Algebra 40 (2012), 2841–2852.

[22] P. Jędrzejewicz, *A characterization of Keller maps*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 217 (2013), 165–171.

[23] P. Jędrzejewicz, *A characterization of p-bases of rings of constants*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 11 (2013), 900–909.

[24] P. Jędrzejewicz, J. Zieliński, *Analogs of Jacobian conditions for subrings*, to appear in J. Pure Appl. Algebra, DOI: 10.1016/j.jpaa.2016.10.007, arXiv:1601.01508.

[25] P. Jędrzejewicz, Ł. Matysiak, J. Zieliński, *On some factorial properties of subrings*, arXiv:1606.06592.

[26] O.-H. Keller, *Ganze Cremona-Transformationen*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 47 (1939), 299–306.

[27] A. Nowicki, *Polynomial derivations and their rings of constants*, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń 1994, `http://www-users.mat.umk.pl/~anow/ps-dvi/pol-der.pdf`.

[28] A. Nowicki, *Rings and fields of constants for derivations in characteristic zero*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 96 (1994), 47–55.

[29] S. Smale, *Mathematical problems for the next century*, Math. Intelligencer 20 (1998), no. 2, 7–15.

[30] J. J. Watkins, *Root and integral closure for* $R[[X]]$, J. Algebra 75 (1982), 43–58.